

# How to Indict a Ham Sandwich, Eric Garner and Other New York Grand Jury Problems



**New York Indicts Ham Sandwichs** [CatCountyCorruption.com](http://CatCountyCorruption.com)



Those who make peaceful revolution impossible will make violent revolution inevitable, and it will cost us all...



With all the focus on corrupt police murdering citizens, like our fellow New Yorker Eric Garner, who was allegedly selling single cigarettes, at \$0.50 CENTS A PIECE! and Grand Jury's appearing to do nothing about it, we need to take the time to look at what a Grand Jury is supposed to be,

versus what it is today.

Strange how this website came out espousing the importance of Grand Jury's and within months the [hegelian dialectic](#) kicks in at full force.



Lets not let the grand Jury look at the fact that Daniel Pantaleo, the cop that murdered Eric Garner has been sued [THREE times for violating the constitutional rights of others](#) he and fellow cops arrested. Gee... have you ever heard of [pattern and practice](#)? Was the grand Jury ever informed about that? Did they just swallow the DA's BS hook, line and sinker? I think you know the answer to that one. But we will review a certified study that shows you was is really going on later in this article.

Will the [grand jury minutes](#) be [inspected properly](#) and by the right persons, like we the people where we can look at it unretraced online?

[Read about the bombshell interview that took place with Benjamin Carr.](#) Benjamin Carr is Eric Garner's stepfather, who was in the media recently peacefully resolving a situation with an [angry protester](#). If you dig a little deeper we see that Pantaleo, the man who was responsible for Garner's death, has been [sued three times for violating the constitutional rights of other black males](#) in the area, by performing humiliating strip searches and fondling the genitalia of his victims, some of them in public view.

Ohhh... Buffalo just had its share of the same issue, another cop in New York, sued in federal court [THREE TIMES](#) for violation of citizens rights. Ohh.. and what did the cops on the scene do to stop? They did not try to stop the beating from taking place, no... they started to warn the cop who was doing the beating that he was being video taped. This shows the [mens rea](#) of police today. it's not if they are doing right or wrong, its *"are we going to get caught"* Also a relative of his, who is a cop, [was also sued for the same type of misconduct, I guess it runs in the family.](#)

The New York grand jury declined to indict Officer Daniel Pantaleo and other participants in the homicide of Eric Garner, despite video evidence that clearly shows [Officer Pantaleo using a banned chokehold to violently take down Eric Garner](#), at which point Garner's increasingly desperate and fading pleas of *"I can't breathe"* were callously ignored.

**So, exactly what was the evidence the Grand Jury was presented with by the DA?**

In Cattaraugus County, New York, District Attorneys claim they can even indict a person without a Grand Jury even being formed. They also claim they can even make up laws to violated what little rights you have left. That's right, the District Attorney will file paperwork in court [citing laws that have never been written, that are completely non-existent.](#)

First we will cover the grand Jury issues, then we will review what the "powers -that-want-to-be" are also pushing.

**Today's Definition of a Grand Jury:** I'm sure we you are all familiar with the term "*a grand jury would 'indict a ham sandwich,'*" This was a famous quote by New York State Chief Justice Sol Wachtler was famously quoted by Tom Wolfe in *The Bonfire of the Vanities* ([ISBN 0-312-42757-3](#)).

Most grand juries have no clue as to what they can and cannot do, their real authority. The Garner video it clear, this man was murdered over a \$0.50 cigarette issue because the 'things' who comprised of the Grand Jury were unaware, to stupid, or to scared to exercise their absolute powers. It's their fault along with the DA.

Article I, Section 6 of the [New York State Constitution](#);

*"the power of the grand jury shall not be,,,,, impaired by law" when dealing with public officers.*

In other words, they could have done anything to look into this. The protests, the destruction, the loss of life, [it's all the GRAND JURIES fault](#).

How much power does a grand Jury have? It's happened once before here in New York. Back in the 1920s and 1930s, Dutch Schultz made a fortune in organized crime-related activities, including bootlegging alcohol and the numbers racket. Indictments were being handed down but nothing was getting done. They decided to take action into their own hands, so they kicked out the DA's and the rest of the 'government' public officials and then proceeded on their own discoveries and cleaned up NYC. As recent as this century, grand juries are still being used effectively in New York City, where accused individuals are being placed in front of them and they are being [no-billed](#) because, unlike the rigid requirements of a jury trial, where accused individuals fairing depends upon how good of a job those representing do, they can speak there mind with no 'legal' interference.

See these historical stories on the subject [here](#) and [here](#).

A good synopsis titled "*If it's not a runaway, it's not a real grand jury*", on the powers and history of the grand juries [can be found here](#). Here is another article [here](#), however, this article discusses CPL 190.75(3), which does not apply in the cases of public officers.



**The problem with that is, if a District Attorney can indict a ham sandwich, he can also find one innocent.**

Is there any proof of this?

If you are an **ordinary citizen being investigated for a crime by an American grand jury, there is a 99.993% chance you'll be indicted.** Yet if you're a **police officer, that chance falls to effectively 1.32%,** in other words;

*"a grand jury would 'indict a ham sandwich, or find one innocent'"*

In the [Garner case](#), the judge unsealed the alleged evidence, but not the transcripts. Why?

Eric just brook up a fight, now does that sound like somebody who is a thug to you? It sounds like he was trying to be a good citizen to me, a good Samaritan. I guess that is now illegal, and it is highly questionable if the cops had ANY evidence what-so-ever that [Garner was selling anything.](#)

**Ohh... and lets not forget, that more whites are murdered by police then blacks.** Over the span of more than a decade, 2,151 whites died by being shot by police compared to 1,130 blacks. But that is not totally correct, we also have to take into account the ratio of blacks to whites, then it shows that indeed more blacks are being murdered then whites. More on that later...

That's the problem with today's Grand Juries, they are made up of people who do not know, or understand what there true powers are.

At the turn of the last century New York City was faced with overwhelming corruption, Grand Juries were handing down indictments yet no one was getting charged.

**Original Definition of a Grand Jury: Originally in the early years of this nation, all grand juries were what would be considered today as a runaway grand jury. They owed no allegiance to the government or its agents, they could do whatever they wanted to ensure justice was served.**

**As government power grew, rules and regulations attempted to push them to the sideline. However the powers of the grand juries are still effective and can work well, and still are working well in this state.**

In the 1930's grand juries were handing down bills of "indictment" in New York City, they soon discovered that their "indictment's " were not being carried out, so they kicked out the DA's and the rest of the 'government' public officials and then proceeded on their own discoveries and cleaned up NYC. As recent as this century, grand juries are still being used effectively in New York City, where accused individuals are being placed in front of them and they are being [no-billed](#) because, unlike the rigid requirements of a jury trial, where accused individuals fairing depends upon how good of a job those representing do, they can speak there mind with no 'legal' interference.

See these historical stories on the subject [here](#) and [here](#).

**A good synopsis titled *"If it's not a runaway, it's not a real grand jury"*, on the powers and history of the grand juries [can be found here](#). Here is another article [here](#), however, this article discusses CPL 190.75(3), which does not apply in the cases of public officers.**

**What is the "Powers-That-Want-To-Be" new hegelian dialectic move?**

**So what are their real plans?**

**How many Citizens have been murdered by out of control cops?**

To date, the only only agency that tracks 'killings by cops' is the FBI.

**However, it is a voluntarily for police departments to provide the data to the FBI, so many jurisdictions don't do it...**

**Does New York?**

Nope...

[New York State doesn't participate in the voluntary program.](#)

**Thankfully there is a new means of aggregating how many people are being killed by law enforcement. This new tool for accountability is the website [www.killedbypolice.com](http://www.killedbypolice.com).**

The statics will alarm you.

**As an example, for the week of November 23rd through 29th, 2014 there were 17 people killed by police. THAT'S ONE WEEK FOLKS!**

**Do we really understand what is happening to our nation?**

**More Whites are getting killed by police than Blacks**, however most people pushing this issue overlook the fact that whites comprise of about [75% of the total population](#). Blacks alone or in a combination with other races, comprising 14% of the total U.S. population. [However some stats show a black male is 21 time more likely than a white male to shot dead than a white male.](#) But there is another study that shows cops are more likely to shoot white people than black.

A scientific study from Washington State University-Spokane suggests just the opposite. In truth, according to findings from the research team's innovative experiments:

- Officers were less likely to erroneously shoot unarmed black suspects than they were unarmed whites — 25 times less likely, in fact
- And officers hesitated significantly longer before shooting armed suspects who were black, compared to armed subjects who were white or Hispanic

"In sum," writes Dr. Lois James, a research assistant professor with the university's Department of Criminal Justice and Criminology who headed the study, "this research found that participants displayed significant bias favoring Black suspects" in their shooting decisions.

The one thing you have to applaud the African Community for, is they could be at each others throats, but when 'the man' does a wrong to one of them, they all drop all of their differences and rally around the victim, unlike Caucasian race, who, for the most part, could care less about their own, because of all the "its-all-our-fault" [neuro linguistic programming](#) that they have come accustom to. Where do you see white folks standing up for [Robert Leone](#), [Thomas Kelly](#) or [Michael Bell](#)? For those types, the road to hell is painful and eternal.

It's all about [race-baiting](#), how the powers that want to be attempt to separate us based on our race or religion, we start fighting among ourselves while the chains of [tyranny](#) are slipped slowly over our limbs and we lose our rights one by one. There is barely anything left now. We are man and woman, we bleed the same color blood and we love our family, friends and country.

**To learn more about the hegelian dialectic click [here](#) and [here](#).**

**Now, lets look at one of the real purposes they are attempting to move forward with....what they are attempting to change (i.e. reduce) your rights again... Does that surprise you?**

- [House Dem urges grand jury reform after Brown, Garner decisions](#)
- [Amid Garner and Brown outrage, bill would reform federal grand juries.](#) This week, Rep. Hank Johnson (D) of Georgia unveiled a bill that prohibits the use of out-of-public-view grand jury hearings when it comes to cases where a police officer has killed a citizen. The bill also would require an outside prosecutor to investigate officers in such cases.
- [Garner, Brown Decisions Spark Calls for Grand Jury Reform.](#) Some say recent moves not to charge cops in civilian deaths stem from a faulty process.
- [Leader of the Senate Democrats has a bill to create an "Office of Special Investigation" inside the Attorney Generals' office to automatically review and possibly investigate police \*\*incidents where an unarmed citizen is killed.\*\* Ohh... I guess this guy does not believe that \[police plant evidence all the time.\]\(#\)](#)

We have to review the bill in order to understand what they are attempting to do. here are some of the

more concerning aspects of the bill;

[Grand Jury Reform Act, H.R. 5830](#)

*To provide that in the case of a law enforcement officer who uses deadly force against a person, and thereby causes the death of that person, a hearing shall be conducted before a judge to determine whether there is probable cause for the State to bring criminal charges against the law enforcement officer relating to the death of the person, **and for other purposes.***

Ahhh.. the old kitchen sink phrase; " *and for other purposes*". You will find this terminology in practically every bill that's ever written in congress. For what purposes is this phrase incorporated into almost every bill?

Simple... in other words their are [ulterior motives](#) at work here. If they can't describe the exact purposes of the bill, then that is a red flag for a hidden agenda. If you have ever taken the time to read proposed bills before congress (you know, one of those responsibilities you as a citizen should be, and can easy exercise) you will see this phrase time and time again...

*(b) HEARING REQUIREMENT; APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROSECUTOR: In the case of a law enforcement officer who uses deadly force against a person, and thereby causes the death of that person, **the Governor of the State in which the death occurred shall appoint a special prosecutor to present evidence on behalf of the State at a hearing before a judge in the appropriate court, in order to determine whether probable cause exists for the State to bring criminal charges against the law enforcement officer relating to the death of the person, which determination shall be made by the judge. The Governor shall use a random process to select the special prosecutor from among the prosecutors in the State, excluding the prosecutors of the locality in which the death took place.***

One question on this paragraph; do you trust your government?

I don't think we have to answer that question for you. Just adding another layer of protection for themselves.

*(e) COURT TO REMAIN OPEN TO THE PUBLIC : In a hearing described in subsection (a), the court shall remain open to the public, except as determined appropriate by the presiding judge.*

First of all, part 'e' is pure BS, do you trust the government? No government official should have the right to determine if it should be made public or not. This part should be rewritten, to the effect, to state;

*(e) COURT TO REMAIN OPEN TO THE PUBLIC : In a hearing described in subsection (a), the court shall remain open in all cases to the public and shall never be secret and no government official, in any manner, shall control the presentation of evidence made to the grand jury, and any person has the right to present any evidence the person deems relevant to said grand jury.*

Further, what this bill should go on and actually state is the ability of the grand juries themselves to review anything and everything, outside the prosecutors control, in other words, the members of the grand jury themselves, in the case of a police shootings, they have the right to remove ANY government official from the proceedings, and have anything presented to them. The Grand Jury has the right to review all evidence collected, and any evidence brought forward, by ANYONE, who

believes they have information on the event. Further they should be made up of educated individuals, not just BS's, MD's, PhD's. By educated I mean normal people, like you and me, that are required to review and educate themselves on the history of real "run-away" grand juries as to a grand juries true power. I would rather have an educated private citizen running the show then any government official.

Ohh... I can hear it now *"That would create chaos, we can't have regular citizens reviewing our illegal activity or controlling the grand jury process"*

Ohhh... wait, we as New Yorkers already have that right! Its called Article I. Section 6, Paragraph 2, of the [New York State Constitution](#), which states...

*The power of grand juries to inquire into the wilful misconduct in office of public officers, and to find indictments or to direct the filing of informations in connection with such inquiries, **shall never be suspended or impaired by law.** No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law.*

Is your county's grand Jury doing their job? If not, remember, as the clause states; *"the power of the grand jury shall not be,,,,, impaired by law"* when investigating wilful misconduct by public officers. In other words, grand juries in other counties can investigate public officers as to what is happening in another county and they can throw the "prosecutors" out the door if they feel they are not doing there job. It has happened before, right here in this state, in New York City none the less.

As stated earlier, and again here, in the 1930's grand juries were handing down bills of "indictment" in New York City, they soon discovered that their "indictment's " were not being carried out, so they kicked out the DA's and the rest of the 'government' public officials and then proceeded on their own discoveries and cleaned up NYC. See these historical stories on the subject [here](#) and [here](#).

Now we have two NYPD officers dead because of the Grand Jury and DA failed in their duty, it is very evident that these two officers were murdered as a result of the Eric Garner indecent. It's a sad day for all New Yorkers when the only place they can see real justice take place the way it should be is on TV's law and order.

The other issue is who makes up the Grand Juries? How are they selected. Are they cronies of the system? Are they real people like you and me?, or just government lackey's that will do the bidding for the powers-that-want-to-be? That has to be looked at too.

In Cattaraugus County New York the District Attorney claims they can even indict a person without a Grand Jury even being formed. They even make up laws to violated what little rights you have left. That's right, the District Attorney will file paperwork in court [citing laws that have never been written, that are completely non-existent.](#)

**WAKE UP PEOPLE, EDUCATE YOURSELVES ! WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER NO MATTER WHAT OUR RACE, BELIEFS OR RELIGION.**