

Mac the Magnificent

Notice the triggering effect on Mac the Magnificent, the self admitted local libtard operative (who isn't so local). Notice how he is the first one to 'challenge' you? This morning I triggered four of them right off the bat. Like within 15 minutes four of these trolls were attacking me. Judging by the tone of Mr. Magnificent's first response you're having the same effect. Congratulations! How come it's always the out of area 'liberals' that always attack us locals first? I don't even think he has 90 FB friends, the same as Cheryl, one has to wonder if they are really real or just fake accounts that libtard operatives set up to corral the unformed herd. Hell, maybe we are just arguing with the latest version HAL [1] or its prodigy; FB AI [2] (notice how this mocking bird media article equates a higher sense of awareness to paranoia), which it always backfires on the creators of fake narratives, i.e. alternate realities [3],[4],[5]. Notice he doesn't provide any proof of his FACTS? It's the same modus operandi he uses on everybody else who calls him out, just like he did to me when he challenged me when I started calling out his buddy Karl Marx who is trying to run for congressman Reed's seat. Marx implied Reed was mentality incompetent with absolutely no proof. How is that psycho Yale psychiatrist doing for you Mac? You do understand she does not have a license to practice in her filed she proclaims she is an expert in, correct [6]?

Mac the Magnificent started whining like a baby and demanded I leave this forum when I called him out on his BS. An example: "The charges in the indictment are merely allegations and the defendant is presumed innocent unless proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt in a court of law. ", WOW! No kidding, Mac the Magnificent you are the master of the obvious. Did you learn that on Fart?, ohhh.... sorry I mean Bart. "FACT. The whole thing is about the transfer of ownership of a Canadian company that the Clinton's had a miniscule amount of interest in." I defer to Mr. Magnificent's later demand "What illegal uranium deal? Where's your proof?" Where is your proof that it is only "miniscule amount of interest..."? "no nuclear material ever left the country" "Where's your proof" Mac the Magnificent?

Clinton Cash[7],[8],[9], a New York times best seller (both the book and the graphic version), as well as a Amazon best seller [10], critiqued the Clintons rags to riches story on selling out of the American People. **"the Clintons helped Giustra acquire Kazakh uranium assets in 2005. Mukhtar Dzhakishev, then head of the Kazakh state nuclear agency, who met with the Clintons in Chappaqua, declared in 2010 that Hillary Clinton extorted and pressured Kazakh officials to grant those uranium concessions to Giustra. Shortly after they granted those concessions, \$30 million was dropped into Clinton Foundation coffers by Giustra. Smith never mentions any of this."** [11],[12] **So \$30 million is "miniscule amount"?** OK, whatever you say.... You're (did I get that right?) a self admitted libtard operative, are you getting your marching orders out of NYC? No, there was no cause and effect in the Uranium one deal at all, not at all, no evidence of a conspiracy either [People v. Connolly and Seely 253 N.Y. 330], blind as a bat and dumb as the day is long.

"Absent from the indictment is the name "Clinton."" Another brilliant observation by Mr. Magnificent, point out where Ms. Ensell claimed that in her posting... well can you? It does appear that you don't understand the strategy of plausible deniability either, which they cast aside because they thought they could get away with it due to the trail of bodies they left behind in other nefarious ventures. Do you know what I Mena? Not only do you need a brain, you need glasses too. "are merely" ever hear of a probable cause hearing Mr. Magnificent? Ohh.... That's right,,,, they are not allowed because it is an indictment and all due process was afforded to the defendant. In other words it is a higher standard in law of verification that the charges will hold up at jury trial as compared to charges brought about by cops, except in this county of course (that you don't live in) and in the Russian Dossier investigations where you don't even need a ham sandwich to indict someone.

Who was investigating the Clinton criminal issues at the time? There was nothing going on during the Obama administration, nothing at all so says the first US attorney General in the history of the United States to be held in contempt of congress both civilly and criminally, that both DEMOCRATS and

REPUBLICANS VOTED YES ON [13].

“Absent from the indictment is the name "Clinton.””, man, your level of awareness is one to behold, how about the other investigations that lead to indictments?

[1] <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ARJ8cAGm6JE>

[2] <https://www.cnbc.com/2017/08/02/facebook-bot-controversy-highlights-peoples-fears-about-ai-and-robots.html>

[3] <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mBYY6VgfUqg>

[4] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/kalevleetaru/2017/03/23/the-backfire-effect-and-why-facebooks-fake-news-warning-gets-it-all-wrong/>

[5] <https://www.cnbc.com/2017/08/02/facebook-bot-controversy-highlights-peoples-fears-about-ai-and-robots.html>

[6] <https://www.infowars.com/records-show-psych-prof-who-diagnosed-trump-lacks-license/>

[7] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7LYRUOd_QoM

[8] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinton_Cash

[9] <https://www.amazon.com/Clinton-Cash-Foreign-Governments-Businesses/dp/0062369296>

[10] <http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2016/08/17/clinton-cash-graphic-novel-debut-1-new-york-times-graphic-novel-bestsellers-list/>

[11] <https://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/24/us/cash-flowed-to-clinton-foundation-as-russians-pressed-for-control-of-uranium-company.html>

[12] <http://thehill.com/policy/national-security/355749-fbi-uncovered-russian-bribery-plot-before-obama-administration>

[13] https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/2chambers/post/which-democrats-voted-to-hold-eric-holder-in-contempt-of-congress/2012/06/28/gJQAUKVy9V_blog.html